1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 5. On June 8, 2009, Defendant John S. Leipsic, Inc. requested from Plaintiffs an extension of time to file its responsive pleading until after the hearing on Plaintiffs' motion to remand, as the motion may result in the case being transferred back to state court. Plaintiffs' counsel agreed to consider the request and in the meantime granted a fifteen-day extension of time to respond to until June 30, 2009.
- 6. Plaintiffs' hearing on the motion to remand was rescheduled for September 22, 2009.

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the attorneys of record for Plaintiffs and Defendant John S. Leipsic, Inc., and pursuant to the Local Rules of Practice in Civil Proceedings before the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, Local Rules 6-1 and 7-12, that Defendant John S. Leipsic, Inc.'s time to file a responsive pleading to Plaintiffs' complaint is extended until two weeks after the order granting/denying Plaintiffs' motion to remand. The requested time modification would not have an effect on the schedule for this case, and will not alter the date of any event or deadline already fixed by the Court.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: June 25, 2009

ı	
	By: /s/ Scott M. McLeod
	Stephen Kaus
	Scott M. McLeod
	Attorneys for Plaintiffs TARANTINOS, INC. and
ı	· ·

COOPER, WHITE & COOPER, LLP

MACMARIN, INC.

Dated: June 25, 2009 KLINEDINST PC

> By: /s/ Reina G. Minoya Natalie P. Vance

Reina G. Minova

Attorneys for Defendant JOHN S. LEIPSIC, INC.

26 ///

27 ///

28 /// KLINEDINST PC 801 K STREET, STE. 2800 SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814

ORDER

The Court having read and considered the stipulation entered into by and between Plaintiffs and Defendant John S. Leipsic, Inc., and good cause appearing therefore;

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED that Defendant John S. Leipsic, Inc.'s time to file a responsive pleading to Plaintiffs' complaint is extended until two weeks after the order granting/denying Plaintiffs' motion to remand. The requested time modification will not have an effect on the schedule for this case, and will not alter the date of any event or deadline already fixed by the Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT Defendant's opposition to the motion to remand shall be filed no later than two (2) weeks after the date this Order is filed. Plaintiff's reply shall be due one (1) week thereafter. The hearing date on the motion to remand shall remain unchanged.

DATED: June 30, 2009

Judge of the Northern District of California

|| 795475v1

KLINEDINST PC 801 K STREET, STE. 2800 SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95814

- 4 -

STIPULATION AND ORDER RE: EXTENSION OF TIME FOR
DEFENDANT JOHN S. LEIPSIC, INC. TO FILE RESPONSIVE PLEADING TO COMPLAINT
CV 09 1974 SBA